Annales and Science – in the Mathematical Sense

By Shomit Sirohi

I. Annales as a Divine Tradition – Introduction to Annales

In fact Annales is not just any process being covered, or any object one can throw around and call unscientific or unformalized or even anything at all. Annales is a divine tradition which produces a syntax of in face science in fact – the following of science in objective measurements of the success and failure of following science then is called scientific process following which means that one totalizes much better and produces correct points or debates – it is the correct way of following the process – which can then be called just following the point in 'genealogy' which means in fact the miracle of thinking, divine speculation and even formalism finally.

II. Science on History and Science debates

In fact it is all scientific which is why one can be postmodern – that science is actually meant to be observed like Greek sculpture then is the point of Archimedes and this then is also Ortega y Gasset in Spain – it just divines it better that way – and this then is a formal mathematical point – it can then be ignored and followed as the case in any case – which we call a belief in science – which means in fact to follow the sculpture as in fact there in obviousness and in fact totalization then is not active perceived unity but just some such complex unity which reads then like a process of scientific attitude formation.

III. Science and History – what then is Science and its Following

In fact following science is then divine in the Greek tradition – it can be called also a very divine sense – the formalization debate, letters and numbers uniting and even philosophy and history guiding it and complex formalisms developing out of it then is sophisticated – it means that the process is in fact also dialectical sketches around this formalism – which proves it is dialectical as well. I would argue for observing science – one must then be dialectical as well – it is like arguing scientific things are undialectical – in fact it proves a dialectical point at each point and that is the in-itself mathematical dialectic.